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Abstract
In prehistoric era archeological evidences suggest that cranial defect (Trephination) reconstruction was done with gold, silver and shells. The next advancement was the use of bone grafts in late 19th century. In 20th century alternative of bone graft, metals and plastics were used. Cranial reconstruction can be done by autografts, allografts, distinct biomaterials, and even osteoinductive growth factors. Polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) is one of the most popular materials used for cranioplasty. But attainment of desired shape, size and cosmesis is difficult. This problem can be solved with the help of 3 dimensional printing technology, using prefabricated model (template) to prepare implant preoperatively. In this case report, we developed a computer-generated model (template) using the 3D Object printer. A mould (template) was created and it was used intra-operatively to produce a PMMA-alloplastic cranial implant that was well fitted into the anatomical defect. A well-fitted implant improves cosmetic outcome, self confidence and reduces the risk of implant movement or extrusion. 3D printed model helps us to provide exact dimensions of the bony defect along with good cosmesis, accuracy and strength of the anatomic replacement.
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Case Presentation
A 13 years female presented with complaints of painless, slowly progressive, bony hard swelling in the right parietal region since one year. On examination swelling was fixed, bony hard, immobile with ill defined margins, non pulsatile and non tender. It was approximatey 5 cm x 5 cm in size. CT brain with 3D reconstruction suggested of osteolytic bony tumour reaching to the midline (Figure 1). MRI brain was suggestive of no dural or any parenchymal invasion (Figure 2). Patient was planned for single stage excision of calvarial mass and reconstruction. Pre operatively a 3D model (template) was constructed using 3D CT brain. 3D printing technology used to manufacture the...
The model (template) was "Selective Laser Sintering (SLS)". The material used was nylon pa 2200 which is biocompatible and autoclavable. Intra operatively the area of resection was outlined with the help of previously prepared template, but the area of resection was crossing midline. To prevent sinus injury craniectomy was done leaving some tumor tissue in midline which was later removed via bone nebular. Tumour free margin was achieved and it was reassessed with the help of template (Figure 3). The calvarial graft was prepared using poly methyl metha acrylate (bone cement). This alloplastic graft was moulded in exact dimensions and evenly distributed thickness by using previously prepared 3D printed model. The graft was re analyzed and margins were drilled to make them non traumatic. It was applied on the cranial defect and fixed with the help of titanium miniplates (Figure 4). Exact shape and contour of parietal bone was achieved as that of the opposite side (Figure 5).

Discussion

The history of cranial reconstruction goes back to 3000 BC, where archeological evidences suggest that head trephination repair was done with the use of metals, shells, and gourds. In subsequent years the practice of cranioplasty was done by using moistened linen, which was applied over wounds and regular dressings were performed to promote wound granulation [4-8]. In 1505, the Ottoman surgeon Ibrahim bin Abdullah published the first case of cranioplasty in his book, "Wonders of Surgeons" and he used canine-derived xenografts. In 1668, a Dutch surgeon Job Janssoen van Meekerlen reported the first successful bone graft cranioplasty [9,10]. Autogenous bone grafts are preferred over alloplastic materials to reconstruct the cranial defects. Alloplastic material is required when autogenous bone is not available as in calvarial tumour resections, bone infections or bone discarded during craniectomies. Now a day’s PMMA and titanium are most widely used alloplastic materials. Preparation of implants can be done in two ways - by hands and by computer generated 3D models [11,12]. Hand fabrication is cheaper and less time consuming technique but desired outcome with respect to shape and size is difficult. The 3D printing technology helps us to prepare prefabricated implants or models to mould grafts intra operatively. This method has become immensely popular as it does not require original bone flap and has produced superior fitting and cosmetic results [13]. 3D printing technology is an evolving branch and its clinical application is growing rapidly. The convenience and affordability of this technology have spurred its adoption in variety of medical fields, especially in planning complex craniofacial reconstructions [14,15].

The procedure for the fabrication of medical models (templates) consists of multiple steps:

- Acquisition of high-quality volumetric 3D image data of the anatomical structure to be modeled.
- 3D image processing to extract the region of interest from the surrounding tissues.
- Mathematical surface modeling of the anatomic surfaces.
- Formatting of data for rapid prototyping.
- Model building Quality assurance of the model and its dimensional accuracy [6,7,8].

Pre operatively computer generated models are very helpful in management of large full thickness defects of cranium. These large defects preclude the use of autogenous tissue for reconstruction and also pose difficulty in shaping the bone graft. In these cases computer generated models allow pre operative analysis and perfect shaping of the alloplastic implants. Advantages include less operative time and simplification of procedure.

Conclusion

Hand-fabrication of PMMA prostheses is an excellent alternative but it does not provide desired cosmetic results especially in large cranial defects such as following decompressive craniectomy or after excision of calvarial tumors. Prefabricated Titanium implants that are generated with the help of 3D printing technology are costlier. To solve this problem, PMMA mould was prepared intra operatively using model or template developed preoperatively by same
technology. It provides same cosmetic results and is quite cheaper. Hence this technique has proven to be safe and has yielded excellent results with cost effectiveness.
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