Randomized Prospective Single-Blinded Study of TEP Inguinal Hernia Repair: Tacking Mesh versus Self-Fixating Mesh

Yazan Al Jamal*, Eee LN Buckarma, Becca Gas and David Farley
Department of General Surgery, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA

Abstract

Background: Totally extraperitoneal inguinal hernia repair (TEP-IHR) offers advantages over conventional open IHR. ProGrip™ self-fixating mesh delivers a tack-free fixation repair. Using ProGrip™ during TEP-IHR may lead to decreased postoperative pain and/or complications compared to fixing mesh with titanium clips.

Methods: A randomized, prospective, single-blinded study compared ProGrip™ mesh versus regular Prolene mesh with titanium tack fixation. A total of 38 patients underwent 65 TEP-IHR at our institution. All repairs were completed with either Prolene mesh (Group TT = 19 patients) with tack fixation or ProGrip™ self-fixating mesh (Group PG = 19 patients). Blinded patients were asked to rate their level of pain according to a Likert scale (0=no pain, 5=severe pain) preoperatively, and at 1 and 4 weeks postoperatively.

Results: Thirty eight men underwent 65 TEP-IHR with a mean age of 55 years (SD±11), mean BMI of 30 kg/m² (SD±9) and mean ASA of 1.9 (SD±0.5). Most repairs were bilateral (TT=16, PG=11). Operative times (TT=65 min±18; PG=77 min±31, p=0.1), hospital length of stay (15.7 vs 14.4 hours, p=0.63), and urinary retention (TT=1, PG=1, p=NS) were similar. No significant differences occurred in the level of pain or limitation of movement pre-op and 4 weeks postop. The patients in TT group reported more pain and limitation of movement than the patients in PG group at 1 week postop (p=0.02).

Conclusions: ProGrip™ self-fixating mesh for TEP-IH repair appears safe and effective; it has similar performance to Prolene mesh using titanium tack fixation.

Introduction

Hernia repairs represent one of the most common surgical operations performed worldwide [1]. While there are multiple methods to fix inguinal herniae, a tension-free repair is the procedure of choice [2]. Endoscopic inguinal herniorrhaphy has become an established approach to repair groin herniae. Totally extraperitoneal inguinal hernia repair (TEP-IHR) offers advantages over conventional open IHR: preperitoneal approach with potentially less discomfort, less morbidity, and the easy option for bilateral repairs [3]. Different types of mesh and fixation methods have been described to avoid inguinodynia with limited success [4,5]. Recently, a self-fixating mesh has been introduced and used successfully in open and MIS inguinal hernia repairs [6-8]. It is made of monofilament polyester with resorbable grips on one side to allow positioning and fixation of the mesh to the surrounding tissue and collagen film on the other side to facilitate mesh handling and deployment [9,10]. We questioned whether using self-fixating mesh during TEP-IHR would lead to decreased postoperative pain and complications compared to fixing mesh with titanium tacks.

Methods

With approval of our Institutional Review Board, a randomized, prospective, single-blinded study of 38 patients was used to compare self-fixating mesh versus regular Prolene mesh with titanium tacks performed by one surgeon and his surgical team at the Mayo Clinic, Rochester MN from December 2013 to November 2015. All males between the ages of 18 and 100 years of age undergoing TEP-IHR were eligible. Baseline demographics and perioperative data were extracted from the medical records, including: age, gender, body mass index (BMI in kg/m²), previous inguinal hernia operations, laterality and type of hernia, length of operation, total amount of hours spent...
in the hospital, amount of pain medication administered (converted to morphine equivalents), conversion rates, intra-operative complications, postoperative surgical complications (e.g., wound infection, hematoma), and general postoperative complications (e.g., pneumonia, urinary tract infection, pulmonary embolism). Follow-up data were obtained through review of the medical record (e.g., postoperative return visits), including long term issues and hernia recurrence. Blinded patients were asked to rate their level of pain on a Likert scale (0 through 5; 0 = no pain, 5 = severe pain) preoperatively, and at 1 and 4 weeks, postoperatively. In follow-up, we used a standardized telephone script consisting of 12 questions, and asked for symptoms such as pain and limitation of movement while lying down, bending over, sitting up, coughing, taking a deep breath, walking upstairs and performing activities of daily living.

TEP-IHR was performed under general anesthesia, using a 3-port, midline technique (one infraumbilical 10-mm Hasson trocar, two 6-mm trocars). A balloon dissector and blunt dissection expose the preperitoneal space of Bogros. Placement of Prolene mesh (10 cm × 15 cm) fixed with titanium tacks or ProGrip™ self-fixating mesh (10 cm × 15 cm) was utilized to widely cover hernia defects. Because of data distribution, comparisons between groups were performed with nonparametric tests as appropriate. All statistical tests were two-sided, and a p value of 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Continuous data are presented as means with standard deviation (SD) or median (range), and categorical data are presented as counts and percentages. All statistical analyses were performed using JMP software (version 9.0.1; SAS, Cary, NC).

**Results**

Thirty eight male patients underwent 65 TEP-IHR. The self-fixating ProGrip™ mesh (PG) group (n=19) and regular Prolene mesh with titanium tacks (TT) group (n=19) were similar: mean (SD) age was 55 years (±11) in PG and 58 years (±11) in TT (p=NS); mean (SD) BMI was 30 Kg/m² (±4) in PG and 30 Kg/m² (±10) in TT, (p=NS). Mean (SD) ASA was 1.7 (±0.5) in both groups. Most repairs were bilateral (TT= 16, PG = 11, p=NS, Table 1).

No significant intra operative complications were encountered; the median (range) starting time of operation was 8.59 am (8:08, 14:24) for TT and 8.57 am (8:04, 12:48) for PG. The mean (SD) operative time for bilateral repairs was 69 (±6.5) minutes for TT and 84 (±7.8) minutes for PG (p= 0.17).The mean (SD) operative time for unilateral repairs was 40 (±12) minutes for TT and 66 (±7) minutes for PG (p=0.09). During their hospitalization, PG patients required more pain medication (morphine equivalents) than TT patients (63 mg (±5.3) vs. 21 mg (±4.4), respectively; p=0.01).Mean (SD) hospital length of stay was 15.7 (±1.5) hours for TT and 14.4 (±1.5) hours for PG. Three patients stayed overnight (TT=2 for bladder catheterization due to urinary retention and pain management; PG=1 for bladder catheterization due to urinary retention) (Table 2). One TT patient required antibiotics for a minor superficial skin infection at the umbilical port site, and one recurrence was identified in the PG group over the median time of observation of 12 months. No recurrence was seen in TT patients (p=NS).

All patients (100%) responded to our pre-operative, 1 week and
4 week postoperative surveys. Hernia repair improved the pain and the movement limitation in patients in both groups. Overall, Twenty-seven patients (74%) reported some type of pain preoperatively compared to 10 patients (25%) who reported some type of pain at 4 weeks postoperatively, p < 0.05. Moreover, 26 (68%) patients reported some limitation of movement preoperatively compared to only 4 (10%) patients at 4 weeks postoperatively; p < 0.05. There was no difference in the level of pain preoperatively between the two groups (TT=1.3/5, PG=1.6/5; p=0.2). TT patients reported a higher pain score than PG patients at 1 week postoperatively (TT=1.1/5, PG=0.8/5; p=0.02). No difference in the level of pain 4 weeks postoperatively was reported between groups (TT=0.1/5, PG=0.3/5; p=0.1) (Table 3 and Figure 1). There was no difference between groups preoperatively in movement limitation (TT=1.3/5, PG=1.4/5; p=0.6). TT patients reported more movement limitation at 1 week postoperatively compared to PG patients (TT=0.84/5, PG=0.53/5; p=0.01). There was no difference in movement limitations between groups at 4 weeks postoperatively (TT=0.12/5, PG=0.2/5; p=0.5) (Table 4 and Figure 2).

**Discussion**

This randomized prospective, single blinded study of 38 patients undergoing TEP RH repairs suggests: 1) ProGrip™ self-fixating mesh for TEP-IHR is safe and effective, 2) it has similar operative times and outcomes to Prolene mesh using titanium tacks. 3) ProGrip™ patients ingested more narcotic medication postoperatively than Prolene patients, and 4) the pain and limitation movement scores at one week postoperatively were higher for the TT patients, but there was no difference in pain and limitation movement scores at 4 weeks. ProGrip™ self-fixating mesh is a tack-free fixation mesh for use in open & laparoscopic hernia repairs. It is composed of absorbable Monofilament PolyLactic Acid micro-grabs on one surface integrated with a lightweight Monofilament Polyethylene Terephthalate. The micro grabs act as a kind of "Velcro" to the soft tissue surfaces resulting in self-fixation. Initial studies have shown that this mesh is safe for use in both TEP and open inguinal hernia repair [11]. While slightly more expensive than plain Prolene mesh, ProGrip™ mesh does not require the expense of titanium tackers [12]. In our study, using tacks was faster than placing ProGrip™, but not significantly so. The challenging aspect of using ProGrip™ is moving the mesh within the confined preperitoneal space. It is not always easy to move the fixing mesh over the cord structures, the hernia sac and surrounding fat. However with repetition, handling the mesh becomes easier and takes less time. Developing wide exposure and maximizing the preperitoneal space facilitates easier placement. One recurrence was encountered in our study; a ProGrip™ patient presented 6 months later with a left sided recurrent inguinal hernia after undergoing TEP IHR for large, incarcerated, bilateral indirect herniae. The dissection and hernia reduction were difficult in this patient, but the mesh panels were placed in satisfactory positions. Re-exploration has not yet been performed, and we remain unclear why recurrence occurred on the patient’s left side. In a meta-analysis, Lau and Patil recommended fixation of mesh routinely, especially in large hernias (>3 cm) [13,14]. However, fixing mesh using tacks for smaller defects has been controversial due to the concerns of an increasing incidence of pain and concerns of recurrence with no fixation [15,16]. Our group published a prospective study in 2006 that showed a significant reduction in the use of postoperative narcotic analgesia, hospital length of stay, the development of postoperative urinary retention, as well as no increase in recurrence rate by eliminating tack fixation of mesh during laparoscopic TEP-IHR [4].

Another study compared the use of Fibrin sealant with tack fixation in laparoscopic TEP inguinal hernia repair and found there was no advantage in using one technique over the other. Moreover, in the most recent meta-analysis by Cristaudo and his group, there were no differences in (Carolina Comfort Scale) scores when comparing types of mesh, configuration of the mesh or fixation methods [17].

Others have found most patients undergoing laparoscopic IH repairs [3,18] recover quickly and have less pain with activity than they did preoperatively. We are encouraged that this small study similarly confirms superb outcomes in short follow up for both ProGrip and tack options. Surgeons should feel confident that both options offer effective repair for their patients. This prospective study has several limitations. The small size of patients in both groups limits the power to reflect statistical differences. This study had a large number of bilateral repairs reflecting the inherent referral bias of our practice. Furthermore, we recognize that survey scores were consistently very low preoperatively, at 1 week and at 4 weeks postoperatively for both groups; statistical differences may have been identified, but clinical relevance may be negligible. In summary, this small prospective, randomized study, confirms several known findings about patients undergoing TEP-IHR: 1) most had less pain and greater freedom of movement following the procedure (with use of either tacks or ProGrip) and 2) ProGrip™ self-fixating mesh is a reasonable option to Prolene mesh tackled with Titanium clips. It will take much larger randomized trials with longer follow-up to confirm our findings.
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