Photodynamic Therapy Associated with Surgical Treatment of Severe Peri-Implantitis: 5-Year Follow-Up Clinical Report
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Abstract

Objective: This clinical report describes a case of severe peri-implantitis in the anterior region of the maxilla successfully managed with the association of photodynamic therapy and conventional surgical treatment.

Background Data: In implant dentistry, several treatment modalities have been presented to treat peri-implantitis and regenerate the bone, however, no protocol has yet been established and defined as effective. Adjunct approaches, such as photodynamic therapy (PDT), may be able to improve the success of conventional treatments.

Methods: A 59-year-old man was diagnosed with severe peri-implantitis in implants 11, 13, 21 and 23. After open debridement and implantoplasty, PDT was performed by applying the photosensitizer methylene blue over the implant surface for 5 min. Laser irradiation was performed with a GaAlAs low-level diode laser, with a wavelength of 660 nm, energy density 100 J/cm², and output power of 100 mW. The treatment was complemented with bone regeneration and free soft tissue graft.

Results: One year after the treatment, no bleeding-on-probing was observed and peri-implant pocket depths were reduced to 1 mm to 3 mm; this was maintained after 5 years of follow-up.

Conclusion: The treatment protocol, combined with support treatment, allowed the reduction of pathogenic bacteria and promoted the health of peri-implant tissues for a period of up to 5 years of follow-up.

Introduction

Peri-implantitis is an irreversible inflammatory disease that leads to marginal bone resorption around the implant and implant failure [1-4]. Although several treatment modalities have been presented, no protocol has been established, because it is not always possible to completely eliminate pathogenic microbial flora [5]. According to the severity of disease, non-surgical approaches may not be sufficient, and in this case, surgical therapies are required. Furthermore, adjunct approaches, such as laser treatment may be used in association with the conventional therapy to increase the success of treatment [6-9].

The antimicrobial photodynamic therapy (PDT) has emerged in the field of dentistry, and studies have shown its use and effectiveness for treating oral infections [9-20]. PDT involves the combination of a non-toxic photosensitizer and light that leads to the release of a highly-reactive oxygen agent with bactericidal effect. As this treatment modality has no effect on mammalian cells, it is considered harmless, an apparent advantage compared with antibiotics and chemical solutions [21]. However, in the case of peri-implantitis disease, it is not yet known whether photodynamic therapy is capable of improving the result of conventional therapy. Furthermore, clinical reports evaluating the effect of PDT in peri-implantitis have not shown a long term result, as the follow-up periods ranged from 4 to 12 months. Hence, the aim of this clinical report is to describe a case of severe peri-implantitis, in which the use of PDT associated with conventional surgical treatment showed successful results in a follow-up period of 5 years.
Case Presentation

The patient, a 59-year-old man was referred to the Maxillofacial Surgery Clinic at the Katharinen Hospital, Stuttgart, Germany, complaining of pain in the anterior region of the maxilla. The patient’s medical history showed that he had been treated at the hospital 10 years before, when he presented a case of severe peri-implantitis that resulted in the loss of 4 implants located in the anterior maxilla. After regeneration of periodontal health, the bone defect was reconstructed with an autogenous bone block obtained from the iliac crest, and the implants were reinserted. The infection reappeared 5 years later, and a treatment with a combination of surgical debridement, irrigation with chlorhexidine and antibiotic therapy was performed. Subsequently, maintenance care was performed by a private dentist for the next 5 years.

During anamnesis, the patient revealed allergy to penicillin and clindamycin, absence of smoking habits, medical risk for dental surgeries or systemic diseases. Laboratory examinations were requested and no abnormalities, including glucose, cardiovascular and thyroid hormone abnormalities were shown.

Clinical and radiographic exams

Clinical examination showed normal oral mucosa and absence of oral lesions or dental infections, however, the presence of biofilm and a poor oral hygiene was observed. The patient presented four implants in the anterior maxilla (teeth in positions 11, 13, 21, 23) supporting an implant-supported dental prosthesis. Occlusion was balanced, without excessive overload of the implants.

Redness and swelling of peri-implant soft tissues associated with bleeding on probing (BOP), deep peri-implant pockets (DPP) >9 mm, and suppuration were observed. In the same region, the absence of keratinized mucosa was detected (Figure 1). Radiographic exam showed circumferential bone defects around implants, as shown in Figure 2.

Diagnosis and treatment planning

The association between clinical and radiographic exams confirmed the diagnostic of severe peri-implantitis in implants 11, 13, 21 and 23, including absence of keratinized gingiva. The treatment plan was defined in order to eliminate the pathogenic bacteria,
reduce the depth of peri-implant pockets and prevent the clinical
signs of inflammation. Hence, a non-surgical treatment based on
decontamination with mechanical debridement and irrigation with
chlorhexidine was initially performed to reduce the inflammation.
After a follow-up of 3 months, as the disease persisted, a surgical
approach complemented with adjunct therapies was considered.
According to a cause-related concept, a protocol involving open
debridement followed by decontamination with laser therapy and
chlorhexidine, associated with implantoplasty, bone regeneration
and free soft tissue graft was planned.

**Peri-implantitis treatment**

The first stage of surgical therapy was performed as follows: local
anesthesia (Xylocaine 2% with epinephrine 1:100,000) was applied
and an incision was made in the crest of the ridge, followed by
flap elevation to gain access to the peri-implant defects (Figure 3).
Mechanical debridement and removal of granulation was performed
by using curettes; and the implant surfaces were planed and
smoothed with a diamond bur. Subsequently, the region was irrigated
with Chlorhexidine 0.2% (Chlorhexamed, Hamburg, Germany) and
erase saline solution. After this, PDT was performed by applying
the photosensitizer methylene blue 0.01% (m/V) (Chimiolux–
Hypofarma, Brazil) over the entire implant surface and surrounding
tissues for 5 min. Afterwards, the excess photosensitizer was removed
and laser irradiation was performed with a GaALAs low-level diode
laser (Thera Lase, DMC, São Carlos, Brazil), at a wavelength of 660
nm, energy density 100 J/cm², output power of 100 mW, 28 s per point
(Figure 4). Irradiation was performed in contact mode perpendicular
over the entire exposed surface of the implants. After PDT treatment,
the implants were irrigated with sterile saline solution.

The bone defect was filled with Emdogain (Straumann, Basel,
Switzerland) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions
and the flap was sutured (Vicryl 4.0). After a period of maintenance
care of 6 months, a reduction was observed in the clinical signs
of inflammation, and a free gingival graft and vestibuloplasty
were performed to increase the keratinized mucosa thickness.
Maintenance care treatment was performed monthly and consisted of
supra-gingival plaque control, irrigation with chlorhexidine 0.2% and
professional prophylaxis with the aid of bristle brush and abrasive
paste.

**Results**

One year after the surgical approach combined with PDT
treatment, no BOP was observed and peri-implant pocket depths were
reduced to 1 mm to 3 mm; this was maintained after 3 and 5 years
of follow-up. The support treatment was maintained throughout a
period of 3 years, with improvement in the bone level (Figure 5) and
maintenance of periodontal health (Figure 6).

**Discussion**

The basis of peri-implantitis treatment must include a cause-
related therapy and maintenance care of the patient, with the aim
of reducing the bacterial load in the peri-implant pocket [22]. If
complete elimination of pathogens is not achieved, the disease may
recur [23]. In cases of severe peri-implantitis, conventional treatment
may not be sufficient to access the contaminated surface, and the use
of PDT appears to be an option to access more extensive eradication
of microorganisms and improve the clinical results of treatment [24-
27]. In the present report, the protocol with PDT was considered
successful in eliminating the pathogenic bacteria, taking into account
that even after a long period of follow-up, there was no recurrence
of the disease. Bombecari et al. [8] evaluated the effect of PDT
added to the conventional treatment: open debridement, curettage
and irrigation with chlorhexidine. Photodynamic therapy reduced a
higher percentage of bacterial biofilm compared with conventional
treatment, although the results were not statistically significant.
The major advantage in using PDT was considered the reduction of
bleeding scores and inflammatory exudates. These results are in
agreement with those found in the present case, because a reduction
in PPDs and clinical signs of inflammation were achieved after
debridement and PDT.

Moreover, risk factors must also be analyzed during the treatment
planning, as several patient and non-patient related factors may
increase the susceptibility to developing peri-implantitis. It has been
suggested that the keratinized mucosa may play an important role in
soft tissue hygiene and health conditions [28]. In the present report,
the patient presented absence of keratinized mucosa, considered the
main factor related to the evolution of peri-implantitis. Hence, a free
tissue graft associated with a vestibuloplasty was essential to enable
periodontal health and avoid recurrence of the infection. Areas
lacking attached mucosa are susceptible to plaque accumulation [29],
bleeding and recession [30]. Thus, an increase in keratinized mucosa
may prevent bone loss and promote soft-tissue health.

Another key role for the maintenance of treatment was the post-
operative care and oral hygiene education of patient [31]. Frisch
recommends the development of supportive post-implant therapy
with a recall every three months to prevent the occurrence of peri-
implant diseases. In this report, an essential stage of the treatment
was the monthly support therapy, and the patient’s cooperation with
the treatment.

Briefly, although clinical studies have been conducted to evaluate
the benefits of conventional peri-implantitis treatment associated
with PDT in patients followed-up for periods up to 12 months,
the improvement in clinical outcomes by using this protocol when
compared with conventional treatment alone is still controversial
[32]. The main clinical significance of this report is to demonstrate
a satisfactory result obtained with treatment in which PDT used
in association with the conventional surgical therapy and support
treatment allowed the maintenance of periodontal health for a period
of 5 years.

**Conclusion**

A case of severe peri-implantitis was successfully managed with a
treatment protocol involving the combination between conventional
surgical treatment and antimicrobial photodynamic therapy for a
period of up to 5 years of follow-up.
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