Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms in Boys – The Role of Voiding Cystourethrography as a Diagnostic Tool
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Abstract

Voiding cystourethrography (VCUG) is well accepted study to detect vesicoureteral reflux and posterior urethral valves; however, there is no uniform approach to use this diagnostic procedure in cases of lower urinary tract symptoms. We present 2 different cases of 12 yr and 9 yr older boys with voiding dysfunction as a leading clinical symptom, in whom VCUG was used as one of diagnostic work-up studies. The results of cystography determined and helped for proper diagnosis and further treatment.
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Introduction

Voiding cystourethrography (VCUG) is well accepted and frequently performed study to detect various urological conditions in children, especially vesicoureteral reflux (VUR) and posterior urethral valves (PUV) [1-4]. In 2016 in Journal of Pediatric Urology and in Pediatrics were published articles presenting the current VCUG protocol to create a consensus how to perform this procedure, according to American Academy of Pediatric Section on Radiology and Section on Urology [5,6]. However, there is still no uniform approach to use VCUG as a standard diagnostic procedure in boys with voiding dysfunction as a leading clinical symptom and still is debate about the value of diagnostic tests to assess the cause of infravesical obstruction in boys [1,7-11]. We present 2 different cases of boys with voiding dysfunction as a leading clinical symptom, in whom VCUG was used helped to make diagnosis of infravesical obstruction as used as one of diagnostic work-up studies.

Case Report 1

A 12-year old boy was referred for urological evaluation because of symptoms of voiding dysfunction, including strain to void, poor stream of urine and prolonged micturition. The symptoms had gradually increased over the last 2 years. No urinary tract infections (UTI) were noted. Ultrasound scan (US) showed no dilatation of the upper urinary tract, however, significant urine retention after voiding was noted (Figure 1a, 1b). Uroflowmetry showed obstructive pattern of urine flow (Figure 2). To complete diagnostic work-up VCUG was performed. The results of cystography determined and helped for proper diagnosis and further treatment.

Case Report 2

A 9-year old boy was observed for the last 4 years because of persistent day time incontinence and recurrent UTIs before urological diagnostic work-up was applied. US showed no upper urinary tract dilatation, but significant post-void residual volume. Urodynamic study revealed overactive and high pressure bladder and obstructive uroflowmetry pattern (Figure 7). The results of VCUG were suggestive of posterior urethral valves (PUV) with left reflux grade II (Figure 8a,b). Transurethral incision of valves was done using a cold knife (Figure 9) and Foley catheter was left for the next 4 days. After removal of Foley catheter normal urinary stream and micturition was noted. Control US study 2 weeks after valve ablation showed only minimal residual post-void urine retention (Figure 6a,b). Further control studies (uroflowmetry, VCUG) are scheduled for this patient.
pharmacological treatment of bladder dysfunction.

**Discussion**

Infravesical obstruction in boys, mostly caused by posterior urethral valves, if unrelieved can result in progressive damage of bladder and upper urinary tract with subsequent deterioration of renal function [1,3,12,13]. Nowadays, PUV are usually diagnosed prenataally or within the newborn period, however, there is a group of boys in whom the diagnosis is made at a later age. In this subgroup of patients lower urinary tract symptoms with voiding dysfunction as a leading and only clinical problems are usually observed [14-16].

Anterior urethral valves (AUV) are very rare but known congenital anomaly, usually associated with urethral diverticulum, and also consequences of AUV on the upper urinary system can be important. Usually case reports of AUV are available in the literature [17-19].

Diagnosis of PUV in older boys and AUV is challenging and may be overlooked, because of a spectrum of symptoms and no uniform diagnostic algorithms are used. The presented case of 12-year old boy with final diagnosis of anterior urethral valve as a cause of observed lower urinary symptoms is the first in our practice. The described case of 9-year old boy with posterior urethral valves represents one of 10 boys with delayed diagnosis of PUV treated at our department.
The clinical symptoms in all of them included poor stream of urine, straining to void, dribbling of urine and day time incontinence. VCUG used as one of essential study together with ultrasound, uroflowmetry or urodynamic study and finally cystoscopy enables proper diagnosis and treatment.
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